

MOTIVES ASSOCIATED TO SUMS OF GRAPHS

SPENCER BLOCH

1. INTRODUCTION

In quantum field theory, the path integral is interpreted perturbatively as a sum indexed by graphs. The coefficient (Feynman amplitude) associated to a graph Γ is a period associated to the motive given by the complement of a certain hypersurface X_Γ in projective space. Based on considerable numerical evidence, Broadhurst and Kreimer suggested [4] that the Feynman amplitudes should be sums of multi-zeta numbers. On the other hand, Belkale and Brosnan [2] showed that the motives of the X_Γ were not in general mixed Tate.

A recent paper of Aluffi and Marcolli [1] studied the images $[X_\Gamma]$ of graph hypersurfaces in the Grothendieck ring $K_0(Var_k)$ of varieties over a field k . Let $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{A}_k^1] \subset K_0(Var_k)$ be the subring generated by $1 = [\text{Spec } k]$ and $[\mathbb{A}_k^1]$. It follows from [2] that $[X_\Gamma] \notin \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{A}_k^1]$ for many graphs Γ .

Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. In this note we consider a sum $S_n \in K_0(Var_k)$ of $[X_\Gamma]$ over all connected graphs Γ with n vertices, no multiple edges, and no tadpoles (edges with just one vertex). (There are some subtleties here. Each graph Γ appears with multiplicity $n!/|Aut(\Gamma)|$. For a precise definition of S_n see (5.1) below.) Our main result is

Theorem 1.1. $S_n \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{A}_k^1]$.

For applications to physics, one would like a formula for sums over all graphs with a given loop order. I do not know if such a formula could be proven by these methods.

Dirk Kreimer explained to me the physical interest in considering sums of graph motives, and I learned about $K_0(Var_k)$ from correspondence with H. Esnault. Finally, the recently paper of Aluffi and Marcolli [1] provides a nice exposition of the general program.

2. BASIC DEFINITIONS

Let E be a finite set, and let

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^E \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0; \quad 0 \rightarrow W^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^E \rightarrow H^\vee \rightarrow 0$$

be dual exact sequences of vector spaces. For $e \in E$, let $e^\vee : \mathbb{Q}^E \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ be the dual functional, and let $(e^\vee)^2$ be the square, viewed as a quadratic function. By restriction, we can view this as a quadratic function either on H or on $W^\vee$. Choosing bases, we get symmetric matrices M_e and N_e . Let $A_e, e \in E$ be variables, and consider the homogeneous polynomials

$$(2.2) \quad \Psi(A) = \det(\sum A_e M_e); \quad \Psi^\vee(A) = \det(\sum A_e N_e).$$

Lemma 2.1. $\Psi(\dots A_e, \dots) = c \prod_{e \in E} A_e \Psi^\vee(\dots A_e^{-1}, \dots)$, where $c \in k^\times$.

Proof. This is proposition 1.6 in [3]. $\square$

Let Γ be a graph. Write E, V for the edges and vertices of Γ . We have an exact sequence

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^E \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathbb{Q}^V \rightarrow H_0(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow 0.$$

We take $H = H_1(\Gamma)$ and $W = \text{Image}(\partial)$ in (2.1). The resulting polynomials $\Psi = \Psi_\Gamma$, $\Psi^\vee = \Psi_\Gamma^\vee$ as in (2.2) are given by [3]

$$(2.4) \quad \Psi_\Gamma = \sum_{t \in T} \prod_{e \notin t} A_e; \quad \Psi_\Gamma^\vee = \sum_{t \in T} \prod_{e \in t} A_e.$$

Here T is the set of *spanning trees* in Γ .

Lemma 2.2. *Let $e \in \Gamma$ be an edge. Let Γ/e be the graph obtained from Γ by shrinking e to a point and identifying the two vertices. We do not consider Γ/e in the degenerate case when e is a loop, i.e. if the two vertices coincide. Let $\Gamma - e$ be the graph obtained from Γ by cutting e . We do not consider $\Gamma - e$ in the degenerate case when cutting e disconnects Γ or leaves an isolated vertex. Then*

$$(2.5) \quad \Psi_{\Gamma/e} = \Psi_\Gamma|_{A_e=0}; \quad \Psi_{\Gamma-e} = \frac{\partial}{\partial A_e} \Psi_\Gamma.$$

$$(2.6) \quad \Psi_{\Gamma/e}^\vee = \frac{\partial}{\partial A_e} \Psi_\Gamma^\vee; \quad \Psi_{\Gamma-e}^\vee = \Psi_\Gamma^\vee|_{A_e=0}.$$

(In the degenerate cases, the polynomials on the right in (2.5) and (2.6) are zero.)

Proof. The formulas in (2.5) are standard [3]. The formulas (2.6) follow easily using lemma 2.1. (In the case of graphs, the constant c in the lemma is 1.) $\square$

More generally, we can consider strings of edges $e_1, \dots, e_p \in \Gamma$. If at every stage we have a nondegenerate situation we can conclude inductively

$$(2.7) \quad \Psi_{\Gamma-e_1-\dots-e_p}^\vee = \Psi_\Gamma^\vee|_{A_{e_1}=\dots=A_{e_p}=0}$$

In the degenerate situation, the polynomial on the right will vanish, i.e. X_Γ will contain the linear space $A_{e_1} = \dots = A_{e_p} = 0$.

For example, let $\Gamma = e_1 \cup e_2 \cup e_3$ be a triangle, with one loop and three vertices. We get the following polynomials

$$(2.8) \quad \Psi_\Gamma = A_{e_1} + A_{e_2} + A_{e_3}; \quad \Psi_\Gamma^\vee = A_{e_1}A_{e_2} + A_{e_2}A_{e_3} + A_{e_1}A_{e_3}$$

$$(2.9) \quad \Psi_{\Gamma-e_i} = 1; \quad \Psi_{\Gamma-e_i}^\vee = A_{e_j}A_{e_k} = \Psi_\Gamma^\vee|_{A_{e_i}=0}$$

The sets $\{e_i, e_j\}$ are degenerate because cutting two edges will leave an isolated vertex.

3. THE GROTHENDIECK GROUP AND DUALITY

Recall $K_0(Var_k)$ is the free abelian group on generators isomorphism classes $[X]$ of quasi-projective k -varieties and relations

$$(3.1) \quad [X] = [U] + [Y]; \quad U \xrightarrow{\text{open}} X, \quad Y = X - U.$$

In fact, $K_0(Var_k)$ is a commutative ring with multiplication given by cartesian product of k -varieties. Let $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{A}_k^1] \subset K_0(Var_k)$ be the subring generated by $1 = [\text{Spec } k]$ and $[\mathbb{A}_k^1]$. Let $\mathbb{P}_\Gamma$ be the projective space with homogeneous coordinates $A_e, e \in E$. We write $X_\Gamma : \Psi_\Gamma = 0$, $X_\Gamma^\vee : \Psi_\Gamma^\vee = 0$ for the corresponding hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}_\Gamma$. We are interested in the classes $[X_\Gamma], [X_\Gamma^\vee] \in K_0(Var_k)$.

Let $\Delta : \prod_{e \in E} A_e = 0$ in $\mathbb{P}_\Gamma$, and let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}_\Gamma = \mathbb{P}_\Gamma - \Delta$ be the torus. Define

$$(3.2) \quad X_\Gamma^0 = X_\Gamma \cap \mathbb{T}_\Gamma; \quad X_\Gamma^{\vee,0} = X_\Gamma^\vee \cap \mathbb{T}_\Gamma.$$

Lemma 2.1 translates into an isomorphism (Cremona transformation)

$$(3.3) \quad X_\Gamma^0 \cong X_\Gamma^{\vee,0}.$$

(In fact, this is valid more generally for the setup of (2.1) and (2.2).) We can stratify $X_\Gamma^\vee$ by intersecting with the toric stratification of $\mathbb{P}_\Gamma$ and write

$$(3.4) \quad [X_\Gamma^\vee] = \sum_{\{e_1, \dots, e_p\} \subset E} [(X_\Gamma^\vee \cap \{A_{e_1} = \dots = A_{e_p} = 0\})^0] \in K_0(Var_k)$$

where the sum is over all subsets of E , and superscript 0 means the open torus orbit where $A_e \neq 0, e \notin \{e_1, \dots, e_p\}$. We call a subset $\{e_1, \dots, e_p\} \subset E$ degenerate if $\{A_{e_1} = \dots = A_{e_p} = 0\} \subset X_\Gamma^\vee$. Since $[\mathbb{G}_m] = [\mathbb{A}^1] - [pt] \in K_0(Var_k)$ we can rewrite (3.4)

$$(3.5) \quad [X_\Gamma^\vee] = \sum_{\substack{\{e_1, \dots, e_p\} \subset E \\ \text{nondegenerate}}} [(X_\Gamma^\vee \cap \{A_{e_1} = \dots = A_{e_p} = 0\})^0] + t$$

where $t \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{A}^1] \subset K_0(\text{Var}_k)$. Now using (2.7) and (3.3) we conclude

$$(3.6) \quad [X_\Gamma^\vee] = \sum_{\substack{\{e_1, \dots, e_p\} \subset E \\ \text{nondegenerate}}} [(X_{\Gamma - \{e_1, \dots, e_p\}}^0)] + t.$$

4. COMPLETE GRAPHS

Let Γ_n be the complete graph with $n \geq 3$ vertices. Vertices of Γ_n are written (j) , $1 \leq j \leq n$, and edges e_{ij} with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. We have $\partial e_{ij} = (j) - (i)$.

Proposition 4.1. *We have $[X_{\Gamma_n}^\vee] \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{A}_k^1]$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbb{Q}^{n,0} \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$ be row vectors with entries which sum to 0. We have

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_1(\Gamma_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^E \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathbb{Q}^{n,0} \rightarrow 0.$$

In a natural way, $(\mathbb{Q}^{n,0})^\vee = \mathbb{Q}^n/\mathbb{Q}$. Take as basis of $\mathbb{Q}^n/\mathbb{Q}$ the elements $(1), \dots, (n-1)$. As usual, we interpret the $(e_{ij}^\vee)^2$ as quadratic functions on $\mathbb{Q}^n/\mathbb{Q}$. We write N_e for the corresponding symmetric matrix.

Lemma 4.2. *The $N_{e_{ij}}$ form a basis for the space of all $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ symmetric matrices.*

Proof of lemma. The dual map $\mathbb{Q}^n/\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^E$ carries

$$(4.2) \quad (k) \mapsto \sum_{\mu > k} -e_{k\mu} + \sum_{\nu < k} e_{\nu k}; \quad k \leq n-1.$$

We have

$$(4.3) \quad (e_{ij}^\vee)^2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k \cdot (k) \right) = \begin{cases} a_i^2 - 2a_i a_j + a_j^2 & i < j < n \\ a_i^2 & j = n. \end{cases}$$

It follows that if $j < n$, $N_{e_{ij}}$ has -1 in positions (ij) and (ji) and $+1$ in positions $(ii), (jj)$ (resp. $N_{e_{in}}$ has 1 in position (ii) and zeroes elsewhere). These form a basis for the symmetric $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrices. $\square$

It follows from the lemma that $X_{\Gamma_n}^\vee$ is identified with the projectivized space of $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrices of rank $\leq n-2$. In order to compute the class in the Grothendieck group we detour momentarily into classical algebraic geometry. For a finite dimensional k -vector space U , let $\mathbb{P}(U)$ be the variety whose k -points are the lines in U . For a k -algebra R , the R -points $\text{Spec } R \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(U)$ are given by pairs (L, ϕ) where L on $\text{Spec } R$ is a line bundle and $\phi : L \hookrightarrow U \otimes_k R$ is a locally split embedding.

Suppose now $U = \text{Hom}(V, W)$. We can stratify $\mathbb{P}(\text{Hom}(V, W)) = \coprod_{p \geq 0} \mathbb{P}(\text{Hom}(V, W))^p$ according to the rank of the homomorphism. Looking at determinants of minors makes it clear that $\mathbb{P}(\text{Hom}(V, W))^{\leq p}$ is closed. Let R be a local ring which is a localization of a k -algebra of finite type, and let a be an R -point of $\mathbb{P}(\text{Hom}(V, W))^p$. Choosing a lifting b of the projective point a , we have

$$(4.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \ker(b) \rightarrow V \otimes R \xrightarrow{b} W \otimes R \rightarrow \text{coker}(b) \rightarrow 0,$$

and $\text{coker}(b)$ is a finitely generated R -module of constant rank $\dim W - p$ which is therefore necessarily free.

Let $Gr(\dim V - p, V)$ and $Gr(p, W)$ denote the Grassmann varieties of subspaces of the indicated dimension in V (resp. W). On $Gr(\dim V - p, V) \times Gr(p, W)$ we have rank p bundles E, F given respectively by the pullbacks of the universal quotient on $Gr(\dim V - p, V)$ and the universal subbundle on $Gr(p, W)$. It follows from the above discussion that

$$(4.5) \quad \mathbb{P}(\text{Hom}(V, W))^p = \mathbb{P}(\text{Isom}(E, F)) \subset \mathbb{P}(\text{Hom}(E, F)).$$

Suppose now that $W = V^\vee$. Write $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \otimes V^\vee \rightarrow k$ for the canonical bilinear form. We can identify $\text{Hom}(V, V^\vee)$ with bilinear forms on V

$$(4.6) \quad \rho : V \rightarrow V^\vee \leftrightarrow (v_1, v_2) \mapsto \langle v_1, \rho(v_2) \rangle.$$

Let $S\text{Hom}(V, V^\vee) \subset \text{Hom}(V, V^\vee)$ be the subspace of ρ such that the corresponding bilinear form on V is symmetric. Equivalently, $\text{Hom}(V, V^\vee) = V^{\vee, \otimes 2}$ and $S\text{Hom}(V, V^\vee) = \text{Sym}^2(V^\vee) \subset V^{\vee, \otimes 2}$.

For ρ symmetric as above, one sees easily that $\rho(V) = \ker(V)^\perp$ so there is a factorization

$$(4.7) \quad V \rightarrow V/\ker(\rho) \xrightarrow{\cong} (V/\ker(\rho))^\vee = \ker(\rho)^\perp \hookrightarrow V^\vee.$$

The isomorphism in (4.7) is also symmetric.

Fix an identification $V = k^n$ and hence $V = V^\vee$. A symmetric map is then given by a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix. On $Gr(n - p, n)$ we have the universal rank p quotient $Q = k^n \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Gr}/K$, and also the rank p perpendicular space $K^\perp$ to the universal subbundle K . Note $K^\perp \cong Q^\vee$. It follows that

$$(4.8) \quad \mathbb{P}(S\text{Hom}(k^n, k^n))^p \cong \mathbb{P}(S\text{Hom}(Q, Q^\vee))^p \subset \mathbb{P}(S\text{Hom}(Q, Q^\vee)).$$

This is a fibre bundle over $Gr(n - p, n)$ with fibre $\mathbb{P}(\text{Hom}(k^p, k^p))^p$, the projectivized space of symmetric $p \times p$ invertible matrices.

We can now compute $[X_{\Gamma_n}^\vee]$ as follows. Write $c(n, p) = [\mathbb{P}(SHom(k^n, k^n))^p]$. We have the following relations:

$$(4.9) \quad c(n, 1) = [\mathbb{P}^{n-1}]; \quad \sum_{p=1}^n c(n, p) = [\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+1}{2}-1}];$$

$$(4.10) \quad c(n, p) = [Gr(n-p, n)] \cdot c(p, p)$$

$$(4.11) \quad [X_{\Gamma_n}^\vee] = \sum_{p=1}^{n-2} c(n-1, p)$$

Here (4.10) follows from (4.8). It is easy to see that these formulas lead to an expression for $[X_{\Gamma_n}^\vee]$ as a polynomial in the $[\mathbb{P}^N]$ and $[Gr(n-p-1, n-1)]$ (though the precise form of the polynomial seems complicated). To finish the proof of the proposition, we have to show that $[Gr(a, b)] \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{A}_k^1]$. Fix a splitting $k^b = k^{b-a} \oplus k^a$. Stratify $Gr(a, b) = \coprod_{p=0}^a Gr(a, b)^p$ where

$$(4.12) \quad Gr(a, b)^p = \{V \subset k^{b-a} \oplus k^a \mid \dim(V) = a, \text{ Image}(V \rightarrow k^a) \text{ has rank } p\} = \\ \{(X, Y, f) \mid X \subset k^{b-a}, Y \subset k^a, f : Y \rightarrow X\}$$

where $\dim X = a - p$, $\dim(Y) = p$. This is a fibration over $Gr(b-a-p, b-a) \times Gr(p, a)$ with fibre $\mathbb{A}^{p(b-a-p)}$. By induction, we may assume $[Gr(b-a-p, b-a) \times Gr(p, a)] \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{A}_k^1]$. Since the class in the Grothendieck group of a Zariski locally trivial fibration is the class of the base times the class of the fibre, we conclude $[Gr(a, b)^p] \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{A}_k^1]$, completing the proof. $\square$

In fact, we will need somewhat more.

Lemma 4.3. *Let Γ be a graph.*

(i) *Let $e_0 \in \Gamma$ be an edge. Define $\Gamma' = \Gamma \cup \varepsilon$, the graph obtained from Γ by adding an edge ε with $\partial\varepsilon = \partial e_0$. Then $X_{\Gamma'}^\vee$ is a cone over $X_\Gamma^\vee$.*

(ii) *Define $\Gamma' = \Gamma \cup \varepsilon$ where ε is a tadpole, i.e. $\partial\varepsilon = 0$. Then $X_{\Gamma'}^\vee$ is a cone over $X_\Gamma^\vee$.*

Proof. We prove (i). The proof of (ii) is similar and is left for the reader.

Let E, V be the edges and vertices of Γ . We have a diagram

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Q}^E & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \mathbb{Q}^V \\ \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \mathbb{Q}^E \oplus \mathbb{Q} \cdot \varepsilon & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \mathbb{Q}^V \end{array}$$

Dualizing and playing our usual game of interpreting edges as functionals on $\text{Image}(\partial)^\vee \cong \mathbb{Q}^V/\mathbb{Q}$, we see that $\varepsilon^\vee = e_0^\vee$. Fix a basis for $\mathbb{Q}^V/\mathbb{Q}$ so the $(e^\vee)^2$ correspond to symmetric matrices M_e . We have

$$(4.14) \quad X_\Gamma^\vee : \det\left(\sum_E A_e M_e\right) = 0; \quad X_{\Gamma'}^\vee : \det(A_\varepsilon M_{e_0} + \sum_E A_e M_e) = 0.$$

The second polynomial is obtained from the first by the substitution $A_{e_0} \mapsto A_{e_0} + A_\varepsilon$. Geometrically, this is a cone as claimed. $\square$

Let Γ_N be the complete graph on $N \geq 3$ vertices. Let $\Gamma \supset \Gamma_N$ be obtained by adding r new edges (but no new vertices) to Γ_N .

Proposition 4.4. $[X_\Gamma^\vee] \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{A}^1] \subset K_0(\text{Var}_k)$.

Proof. Note that every pair of distinct vertices in Γ_N are connected by an edge, so the r new edges e either duplicate existing edges or are tadpoles ($\partial e = 0$). It follows from lemma 4.3 that $X_\Gamma^\vee$ is an iterated cone over $\mathbb{X}_{\Gamma_N}^\vee$. In the Grothendieck ring, the class of a cone is the sum of the vertex point with a product of the base times an affine space, so we conclude from proposition 4.1. $\square$

5. THE MAIN THEOREM

Fix $n \geq 3$. Let Γ_n be the complete graph on n vertices. It has $\binom{n}{2}$ edges. Recall (lemma 2.2) a set $\{e_1, \dots, e_p\} \subset \text{edge}(\Gamma_n)$ is nondegenerate if cutting these edges (but leaving all vertices) does not disconnect Γ_n . (For the case $n = 3$ see (2.8) and (2.9).) Define

$$(5.1) \quad S_n := \sum_{\substack{\{e_1, \dots, e_p\} \\ \text{nondegenerate}}} [X_{\Gamma_n - \{e_1, \dots, e_p\}}] \in K_0(\text{Var}_k).$$

Let Γ be a connected graph with n vertices and no multiple edges or tadpoles. Let $G \subset \text{Sym}(\text{vert}(\Gamma))$ be the subgroup of the symmetric group on the vertices which acts on the set of edges. Then $[X_\Gamma]$ appears in S_n with multiplicity $n!/|G|$.

Theorem 5.1. $S_n \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{A}_k^1] \subset K_0(\text{Var}_k)$.

Proof. It follows from (3.6) and proposition 4.1 that

$$(5.2) \quad \sum_{\substack{\{e_1, \dots, e_p\} \\ \text{nondegenerate}}} [X_{\Gamma_n - \{e_1, \dots, e_p\}}^0] \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{A}_k^1].$$

Write $\vec{e} = \{e_1, \dots, e_p\}$ and let $\vec{f} = \{f_1, \dots, f_q\}$ be another subset of edges. We will say the pair $\{\vec{e}, \vec{f}\}$ is nondegenerate if $\vec{e}$ is nondegenerate in the above sense, and if further $\vec{e} \cap \vec{f} = \emptyset$ and the edges of $\vec{f}$ do not

support a loop. For $\{\vec{e}, \vec{f}\}$ nondegenerate, write $(\Gamma_n - \vec{e})/\vec{f}$ for the graph obtained from Γ_n by removing the edges in $\vec{e}$ and then contracting the edges in $\vec{f}$. If we fix a nondegenerate $\vec{e}$, we have

$$(5.3) \quad \sum_{\substack{\vec{f} \\ \{\vec{e}, \vec{f}\} \text{ nondeg.}}} [X_{(\Gamma_n - \vec{e})/\vec{f}}^0] + t = [X_{\Gamma_n - \vec{e}}].$$

Here $t \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{A}^1]$ accounts for the $\vec{f}$ which support a loop. These give rise to degenerate edges in $X_{\Gamma_n - \vec{e}}$ which are linear spaces and hence have classes in $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{A}^1]$. Summing now over both $\vec{e}$ and $\vec{f}$, we conclude

$$(5.4) \quad S_n \equiv \sum_{\substack{\{\vec{e}, \vec{f}\} \\ \text{nondegen.}}} [X_{(\Gamma_n - \vec{e})/\vec{f}}^0] \pmod{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{A}^1]}.$$

Note that if $\vec{e}, \vec{f}$ are disjoint and $\vec{f}$ does not support a loop, then $\vec{e}$ is nondegenerate in Γ_n if and only if it is nondegenerate in $\Gamma_n/\vec{f}$. This means we can rewrite (5.4)

$$(5.5) \quad S_n \equiv \sum_{\vec{f}} \sum_{\substack{\vec{e} \subset \Gamma_n/\vec{f} \\ \text{nondegen.}}} [X_{(\Gamma_n/\vec{f}) - \vec{e}}^0].$$

Let $\vec{f} = \{f_1, \dots, f_q\}$ and assume it does not support a loop. Then $\Gamma_n/\vec{f}$ has $n - q$ vertices, and every pair of distinct vertices is connected by at least one edge. This means we may embed $\Gamma_{n-q} \subset \Gamma_n/\vec{f}$ and think of $\Gamma_n/\vec{f}$ as obtained from Γ_{n-q} by adding duplicate edges and tadpoles. We then apply proposition 4.4 to conclude that $[X_{\Gamma_n/\vec{f}}^\vee] \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{A}_k^1]$. Now arguing as in (3.6) we conclude

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{\substack{\vec{e} \subset \Gamma_n/\vec{f} \\ \text{nondegen.}}} [X_{(\Gamma_n/\vec{f}) - \vec{e}}^0] \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{A}_k^1]$$

Finally, plugging into (5.5) we get $S_n \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{A}^1]$ as claimed. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Aluffi, P., and Marcolli, M., Feynman Motives of Banana Graphs, arXiv:0807.1690v2 [hep-th]
- [2] Belkale, P., and Brosnan, P., Matroids, motives and a conjecture on Kontsevich, Duke Math. Journal, Vol. 116 (2003) 147-188.
- [3] Bloch, S, Esnault, H., and Kreimer, D., On Motives Associated to Graph Polynomials, Comm. Math. Phys. **267** (2006), 181-225.
- [4] Broadhurst, D.J., and Kreimer, D., Association of multiple zeta values with positive knots via Feynman diagrams up to 9 loops, Phys. Lett.**B 393** (1997) 403.

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, CHICAGO, IL 60637,
USA, E-MAIL ADDRESS: BLOCH@MATH.UCHICAGO.EDU